

Discussions on a mathematical induction problem

Question A sequence of real numbers $\{a_n\}$ is defined as follows.

$$a_0 = a_1 = 1, \quad a_2 = 3 \quad \text{and}$$

$$a_{n+3} = 3a_{n+2} - a_{n+1} - 2a_n, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

(a) Let $b_k = a_{k+2} - a_{k+1} - a_k$, $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$

Prove that $b_n = 2b_{n-1}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

(b) Hence, or otherwise, deduce that $a_n \leq 2^n$.

"Proposed" solution

(a) Let $P(n)$ be the proposition : " $b_n = 2b_{n-1}$ ".

$$\text{For } P(1), \quad b_0 = a_2 - a_1 - a_0 = 3 - 1 - 1 = 1$$

$$b_1 = a_3 - a_2 - a_1 = (3a_2 - a_1 - 2a_0) - a_2 - a_1 = (3 \times 3 - 1 - 2 \times 1) - 3 - 1 = 2$$

$$\therefore b_1 = 2b_0.$$

Assume $P(k)$ is true for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$, that is, $b_k = 2b_{k-1}$ (1)

For $P(k+1)$,

$$\begin{aligned} b_{k+1} &= a_{k+3} - a_{k+2} - a_{k+1} \\ &= 3a_{k+2} - a_{k+1} - 2a_k - a_{k+2} - a_{k+1} \\ &= 2(a_{k+2} - a_{k+1} - a_k) \\ &= 2b_k \end{aligned}$$

$\therefore P(k+1)$ is true.

By the Principle of Mathematical Induction, $P(n)$ is true $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$.

(b) Let $P(n)$ be the proposition : " $a_n \leq 2^n$ ".

$$\text{For } P(0), \quad a_0 = 1 \leq 2^0$$

$$\text{For } P(1), \quad a_1 = 1 \leq 2^1$$

$$\text{For } P(2), \quad a_2 = 3 \leq 2^2 \therefore P(0), P(1), P(2) \text{ are true.}$$

Assume $P(k)$, $P(k+1)$ and $P(k+2)$ are true for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$, that is,

$$a_k \leq 2^k, \quad a_{k+1} \leq 2^{k+1}, \quad a_{k+2} \leq 2^{k+2} \quad \dots (2)$$

For $P(k+3)$,

$$\begin{aligned} a_{k+3} &= 3a_{k+2} - a_{k+1} - 2a_k \quad (\text{Note: we cannot use (2) directly as there are subtractions}) \\ &= 3(a_{k+2} - a_{k+1} - a_k) + 2a_{k+1} + a_k \\ &= 3b_k + 2a_{k+1} + a_k \\ &= 3(2b_{k-1}) + 2a_{k+1} + a_k, \quad \text{by (a)} \\ &= 3(4b_{k-2}) + 2a_{k+1} + a_k, \quad \text{by (a)} \\ &= \dots \\ &= 3(2^k b_0) + 2a_{k+1} + a_k \\ &\leq 3(2^k \times 1) + 2(2^{k+1}) + 2^k \\ &= 2^k [3 + 2(2) + 1] \\ &= 2^{k+3} \end{aligned}$$

$\therefore P(k+3)$ is true.

By the Second Principle of Mathematical Induction, $P(n)$ is true $\forall n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$.

Discussions

In the above "Proposed" solution,

- (1) The mathematical induction in (a) is wrong, as the inductive hypothesis ($b_k = 2b_{k-1}$) is not used in proving $P(k+1)$ part. Therefore either you forfeit the use of mathematical induction and prove directly as in Method 1 below or modify your mathematical induction as in Method 2 below.

Method 1

$$\begin{aligned}b_n &= a_{n+2} - a_{n+1} - a_n \\ &= (3a_{n+1} - a_n - 2a_{n-1}) - a_{n+1} - a_n \\ &= 2(a_{n+1} - a_n - a_{n-1}) \\ &= 2b_{n-1}\end{aligned}$$

Method 2

Let $P(n)$ be the proposition : " $b_n = 2b_{n-1}$ ".

For $P(1)$, $b_0 = a_2 - a_1 - a_0 = 3 - 1 - 1 = 1$

$$b_1 = a_3 - a_2 - a_1 = (3a_2 - a_1 - 2a_0) - a_2 - a_1 = (3 \times 3 - 1 - 2 \times 1) - 3 - 1 = 2$$

$$\therefore b_1 = 2b_0.$$

Assume $P(k)$ is true for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$, that is, $b_k = 2b_{k-1}$ (1)

For $P(k+1)$,

$$\text{By (1), } b_k = 2b_{k-1}$$

$$a_{k+2} - a_{k+1} - a_k = 2(a_{k+1} - a_k - a_{k-1})$$

$$2a_{k+2} - 2a_{k+1} - 2a_k = 2(2a_{k+1} - 2a_k - 3a_{k-1})$$

$$(3a_{k+2} - a_{k+1} - 2a_k) - a_{k+2} - a_{k+1} = 2[(3a_{k+1} - a_k - 2a_{k-1}) - a_{k+1} - a_k]$$

$$2(a_{k+3} - a_{k+2} - a_{k+1}) = 2[2(a_{k+2} - a_{k+1} - a_k)]$$

$$a_{k+3} - a_{k+2} - a_{k+1} = 2[a_{k+2} - a_{k+1} - a_k]$$

$$b_{k+1} = 2b_k$$

$\therefore P(k+1)$ is true.

By the Principle of Mathematical Induction, $P(n)$ is true $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$.

As can be seen, Method 1 is better than Method 2.

- (2) The Proposed solution in Part (b) is done better than part (a) but is not satisfactory:

- (i) The deduction in the $P(k+3)$ part as denoted by " $= \dots$ " is not wrong, but rather uncomfortable. You may better write " $= \dots$ (use deduction, hence induction)" to tell others that you know how to use induction here, but you are not writing for simplicity.
- (ii) $P(k+2)$, that is, $a_{k+2} \leq 2^{k+2}$ is not really used in the proof of $P(k+3)$ in the above. You may cut that part, but it is still all right to leave it there.

You can in fact prove separately $b_n = 2^n$ by mathematical induction (or deduction) first and the proof of part (b) can be rewritten as follows:

Let $P(n)$ be the proposition : " $a_n \leq 2^n$ ".

$$\text{For } P(0), \quad a_0 = 1 \leq 2^0$$

$$\text{For } P(1), \quad a_1 = 1 \leq 2^1$$

$$\text{For } P(2), \quad a_2 = 1 \leq 2^2 \therefore P(0), P(1), P(2) \text{ are true.}$$

Assume $P(k)$ and $P(k+1)$ are true for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$, that is,

$$a_k \leq 2^k, \quad a_{k+1} \leq 2^{k+1} \quad \dots \quad (2)$$

For $P(k+3)$,

$$\begin{aligned} a_{k+3} &= 3a_{k+2} - a_{k+1} - 2a_k \\ &= 3(a_{k+2} - a_{k+1} - a_k) + 2a_{k+1} + a_k \\ &= 3b_k + 2a_{k+1} + a_k \\ &\leq 3 \times 2^k + 2 \times 2^{k+1} + 2^k \\ &= 3 \times 2^k + 4 \times 2^k + 2^k \\ &= 8 \times 2^k \\ &= 2^{k+3} \end{aligned}$$

$\therefore P(k+3)$ is true.

By the Second Principle of Mathematical Induction, $P(n)$ is true $\forall n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$.